Enbridge Line 5 Pipeline through the Straits of Mackinac

The Worst Possible Place for an Oil Spill: The aging Enbridge pipelines push nearly 23 million gallons of oil and natural gas liquids a day through the Straits of Mackinac, which the company uses as a shortcut for its Line 5 route from Superior, Wis., to Sarnia, Ontario. A July 2014 study by the University of Michigan called the Straits "the worst possible place for an oil spill in the Great Lakes" and depicted the prospect of a plume from a million-gallon oil spill in the Straits stretching for 85 miles – from Lake Michigan’s Beaver Island to Mackinac Island to Rogers City down the Lake Huron shore.

Independent Experts Who Studied Enbridge Line 5 through the Straits: Key Findings

Scientific Findings Require Line 5 Closure: Findings by a team of experts who studied Line 5 lead to a strong recommendation that Line 5 should be shut down pending a full review under state law to avoid a catastrophic oil spill into the Great Lakes, home to 20 percent of the world’s fresh surface water. The State of Michigan has insufficient information to ensure Line 5 is operating safely. An open, public process should begin immediately to consider the best way to avoid a spill.

Outdated Pipelines: The twin oil Enbridge pipelines rely on 1950s technology and use outdated protective coating and welds connecting the 40-foot segments that make up the pipelines that run through the Straits of Mackinac, where Lake Michigan and Lake Huron meet. Shifting sand and gravel at the bottom likely causes friction that is accelerating pipeline wear after more than six decades of use.

Zebra Mussels Corrode Steel Pipes: The pipelines were constructed prior to the zebra mussel invasion of the Great Lakes and were not designed to withstand the corrosive impact of zebra mussels. The invasive species secretes an acidic waste that corrodes exposed steel. The steel pipes are coated with obsolete coal tar enamel that has failed elsewhere, including in 2009 with Enbridge’s Line 2 near Odessa, Saskatchewan, which was constructed the same year as Enbridge’s Line 5 through the Straits.

Deficient Pipeline Welds: Welds created using 1953 techniques are deficient. Sixteen Enbridge oil spills reported from 2002-2010 were caused either by weld failure or from the failure of coal tar enamel coating similar to the coating used on Line 5 through the Straits.

Failure to Install Pipeline Supports: Enbridge has failed to install as many as 65 supports required by the state to prevent the pipeline from grinding along the bottom, bending, and potentially failing or breaking at its weld points.
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